5-19-03



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Applicant: Kurn	Docket No: 20206-036
Serial No: 09/736,717	Client Reference No. P00-3418
Filed: Dec-12-2000	Art Unit: 2131
	Examiner:

RECEIVED

MAY 2 1 2003

Technology Center 2100

Mailstop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL FOR REQUEST TO WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD IN PATENT MATTER

Dear Sirs:

Submitted herewith are the following documents:

- 1. Request to Withdraw as Attorney of Record; and
- 2. Copy of Notice to Client of the Rquest to Withdraw.

Dated: May 16, 2003

Leah Sherry Reg. No. 43,918

OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY LLP

1400 Page Mill Road

Palo Alto, CA 94304-1124 Phone: (650) 320-4000

Fax:

(650) 320-4100

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 CFR 1.10(a))

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL" - Rule 10: The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" under 37 CFR 1.10 as Express Mail No. EV332404852US addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date: May 16, 2003

Name: Leah Sherry



1400 Page Mill Road Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304

Tel: 650-320-4000 Fax 650-320-4100

Direct Dial:

650-320-4185

E-Mail:

lsherry@oppehaimer.com

May 16, 2003

VIA FEDEX

Guy Kelly IP Managing Counsel Hewlett-Packard Company 3404 East Harmony Road MS 79 Fort Collins, CO 80528-9599

Re: Notice of Withdrawal and Delivery of Papers of Pre-merger Compaq Cases

Dear Guy:

This letter is to give you notice that, pursuant to your telephone instructions of May 1, 2003, we have taken the necessary steps for withdrawing as attorneys of record in the Compaq patent matters on which we were retained prior to the Compaq merger with Hewlett-Packard, and for which you have assumed management responsibility. Hereafter these cases will be referred to as the "pre-merger Compaq patent matters" (designated by Oppenheimer client number 20206).

It is unfortunate that we appear to disagree on whether our work on a number of these pre-merger Compaq patent matters where the application had not been drafted as of the time of the merger is subject to a capped-fee arrangement. It also unfortunate that in light of this disagreement you have decided to withdraw our authorization to do any further work on all the pre-merger Compaq patent matters and have refused to discuss your decision or respond to further communication from us.

Under California and U.S. Patent rules of practice, as the withdrawal of our authorization to do any further work is tantamount to our discharge, we filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office a Request to Withdraw as Attorney of Record in the pending pre-merger Compaq patent matters. We are enclosing herewith a copy of these requests. In further accordance with these rules we have gathered and prepared for delivery to you the papers comprising the pre-merger Compaq applications and their prosecution.

We anticipate that Hewlett-Packard will pay, in full, the outstanding invoices for our work on these patent matters. If you have any questions about any of these arrangements, please call me.

Brussels

New York

Geneva

Orange County

Los Angeles Minneapolis Paris

Silicon Valley

oppenheimer.com

RECEIVED

MAY 2 1 2003

Technology Center 2100



Guy Kelly May 16, 2003 Page 2

In closing, we have enjoyed our long-standing work relationship with Compaq and have likewise enjoyed providing patent prosecution service to Hewlett-Packard. I was also glad to hear you say that there were no issues with the quality of our work and that our work met your quality standards. Going forward, we hope to have the opportunity to provide additional service to Hewlett-Packard and support you in the management of Hewlett-Packard's patent portfolio.

Sincerely yours,

Leah Sherry

cc: Bill Streeter, Rich Lange, Ted Park